EXHIBIT 7

Plaintiff's Rule 7.1(f) Motion to Strike Defendant Audrey Davis's Supplement in Support of Motion to Continue

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	RM WARNER, PLC
1	8283 N. Hayden Road, Suite 229
2	Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
,	Daniel R. Warner, Esq. (AZ Bar # 026503)
3	Email: dan@rmwarnerlaw.com
4	Raeesabbas Mohamed, Esq. (AZ Bar # 027418)
5	Email: Raees@rmwarnerlaw.com
	Tel: 480-331-9397
6	Fax: 1-866-961-4984
	Fax: 1-866-961-4984 Attorneys for Plaintiff
7	
	THE OWNER DATE OF THE OWNER OF THE

IN SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

RHONDIE VOORHEES, an individual, Plaintiff, V. AUDREY DAVIS and JOHN DOE DAVIS,

husband and wife,

Defendants.

NO. P1300CV202100396

PLAINTIFF'S RULE 7.1(f) MOTION TO STRIKE **DEFENDANT AUDREY** DAVIS'S SUPPLEMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO **CONTINUE**

Plaintiff, by and through counsel undersigned, respectfully requests that the Court enter an order striking Defendant Audrey Davis's Supplement In Support Of Motion To Continue (the "Supplement"). The Supplement is improper and is not permitted under the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. Therefore, the Supplement should be stricken under Rule 7.1(f), which states that "a motion to strike may be filed only if it is expressly authorized by statute or other rule, or if it seeks to strike any part of a filing or submission on the ground that it is prohibited, or not authorized, by a specific statute, rule, or court order." Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(f)(1).

Defendant filed her Motion to Continue on July 19, 2021 (the "Motion"). Plaintif
timely filed a response on July 27, 2021. Defendant's reply was due on or before August 9
2021. Defendant failed to file any reply. Then, over a month after the deadline to file a
reply, on September 19, 2021, the night before the status conference set on the Motion
Defendant files the Supplement. The Supplement is clearly improper and should be
stricken. "An application to the court for an order must be by motion , an opposing
party must file any responsive memorandum within 10 days after the motion and
within 5 days after a responsive memorandum is served, the moving party may file a reply
memorandum Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a). Accordingly, the Supplement is not authorized
and must be stricken.

Because the entry of default has become effective, Defendant must move to set aside the entry of default under the applicable law. If Defendant's arguments under the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act have any merit (which they do not), Defendant should be able to prevail in seeking to set aside the entry of default.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 1st day of October, 2021.

RM WARNER, PLC

/s/ Daniel R. Warner By: Daniel R. Warner, Esq. 8283 N. Hayden Road, Suite 229 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Attorneys for Plaintiff

8283 N. Hayden Road, Suite 229 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 Telephone: (480) 331-9397

RM WARNER, PLC

COPY of the foregoing filed this same date with the Clerk of the Court
COPY of the foregoing Emailed and mailed this same date to:
Marc J. Randazza
RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP, PLLC 2764 Lake Sahara Drive, Suite 109
Las Vegas, NV 89117 ecf@randazza.com

/s/ Anne E. Griffith

Attorneys for Defendants